

The History, Status, and Future of Tribal Self-Governance

Geoff Strommer
Hobbs, Straus, Dean & Walker, LLP



2021 marked the 46th anniversary of the **Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act** of 1975 (ISDEAA), and the 33rd anniversary of the Tribal Self-Governance Demonstration Project.

My comments today will focus on how the selfgovernance program came about, some of the challenges that have had to be overcome, why it has been such a resounding success, and how the program will likely develop over the upcoming years.



The Starting Point: Congress Has Plenary Power over Indian Affairs

Constitution: "Indian Commerce Clause" (Article I, Section 8) grants Congress the exclusive power "[t]o regulate commerce with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian Tribes."

- Recognizes tribal governments are sovereigns on par in many ways with states and foreign nations
- But tribes' sovereignty is limited by, and subject to, the "plenary power" of Congress



The Schizophrenic History of Federal Indian Law and Policy

- Removal Policy (1826-1887): isolate tribes out west
- Allotment Policy (1887-1934): assimilate Indians by breaking up tribal lands, weakening governments
- Indian Reorganization Act (1934): reverse allotment, restore tribal governments
- Termination
 - P.L. 280 (1953) State jurisdiction over Indian country
 - Relocation: tribal members moved from reservations to cities
- Self-Determination era begins (1970s)



The Self-Determination Era (1970-Present)

- 1960s: Kennedy Administration stops the practice of terminating tribes; the Johnson Administration invests in Indian social programs and reservation infrastructure.
- 1970: President Nixon, in famous message to Congress, asks Congress to renounce termination and declares tribal self-determination the official federal policy for all Indian tribes.
- 1975: Congress enacts the ISDEAA, which allows tribes for the first time to assert direct control over certain federal programs, functions, services and activities.



Key Principles Behind the ISDEAA

- Tribe, not federal agency, at their option assume the responsibility and funding for programs, functions, services and activities.
- Promotes local control—tribes are closest to beneficiaries and most responsive to their needs.
- Encourages development of capacity for governmentbuilding and stable political and administrative institutions.
- Promotes political accountability.
- Affirms tribal sovereignty and the trust responsibility.



Benefits of ISDEAA Agreements

- Mandatory and binding contracts.
- Reflect the unique government-to-government relationship and trust responsibility.
- Right to take over all funding and be paid administrative costs separately.
- Flexibility to redesign programs and reallocate funds.
- Tribes step Into the Shoes of Federal Agencies:
 - Federal Tort Claims Act coverage
 - Access to VA Prime Vendor
 - GSA acquisition
 - Leasing of tribal facilities to deliver services



Key Implementation Problems

- Lack of BIA and IHS support;
- Not all funds on table;
- Initially no administrative costs paid;
- Micromanagement after transfer resulting in growth of BIA and IHS staffing;
- Onerous reporting requirements; and
- Paternalistic interference.



The Birth of the Self-Governance Movement

- Multiple attempts to amend ISDEAA prior to mid 1980s.
- DOI and IHS try to force through unfavorable regulations.
- Tribal leaders fed up with IHS and BIA implementation of ISDEAA.
- Congressional hearings on BIA mismanagement of tribal resources.
- First Self Governance proposal: demonstration project/block grant approach
- Assistant Secretary Swimmer response: yes, but take the trust responsibility



ISDEAA Amendments Related to Self-Governance

- 1988: Title III Self-Governance Demonstration Project, designed to minimize federal oversight and maximize tribal flexibility
- 1994: Title IV, permanent Self-Governance legislation for the Department of the Interior
 - Tribes may assume on a discretionary basis programs from non-BIA agencies in DOI
 - Also introduced the Title I model contract and other improvements to Title I
- 2000: Title V, permanent Self-Governance legislation for IHS
 - Included Title VI, which directed the Secretary of HHS to study the feasibility of extending self-governance program to non-IHS agencies within DHHS.
- 2020: Title IV amended by the PROGRESS Act
 - Brought Title IV up to par with Title V
 - Also amended Title I provisions



The Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act

Today the ISDEAA consists of five major Titles:

- Self-determination contracting program within the DOI and DHHS under Title I
- Education assistance programs under Title II
- Permanent self-governance program within the DOI for both BIA and non-BIA programs under Title IV
- Permanent self-governance program within DHHS under Title V
- A feasibility study for including non-IHS agencies within the DHHS in a self-governance demonstration project under Title VI

The Growth of Self-Governance

BIA:

- 1991, 7 tribes had self-governance agreements with the BIA that totaled just over \$27 million.
- 2021, 285 tribes and tribal consortia have 133 funding agreements totaling over \$1.3 billion.

IHS:

- 1994, 14 tribes had self-governance agreements totaling \$51 million.
- 2021, 375 tribes have 105 compacts and 131 funding agreements totaling over \$2.6 billion.

Obstacles to Self-Governance

Contract Support Costs underfunding:

- For decades Congress underfunded the administrative or overhead costs associated with carrying out self-governance programs.
- Examples of these fixed and unavoidable costs include insurance, property/personnel management systems, and facilities overhead.
- CSC underfunding gave rise to decades of litigation which continues to this day. So far three of these cases have gone to the SCOTUS: Cherokee, Ramah Navajo and Menominee.
- Since 2014, Congress has required IHS and BIA to fully fund CSC and soon we might see CSC funding become mandatory.
- Current litigation over what constitutes full CSC entitlement payment on third party revenues: Northern Arapaho, San Carlos
 and Swinomish.



Obstacles to Self-Governance

Agency Resistance to Self-Governance:

- IHS and BIA regularly interpret self-governance statutes and regulations as narrowly as possible because it transfers control of program authority and funding to tribes. The result has been litigation:
 - IHS attempted to block Susanville Indian Rancheria's nominal co-pay requirement
 - BIA unilaterally cut off funding to the Aleutian Pribilof Islands Association for its cultural heritage resource preservation activities after deciding that the consortium was not the primary beneficiary of the program.



Obstacles to Self-Governance

Agency Resistance to Self-Governance: (cont.)

- The IHS refused to allow the Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe to assume operation of their Emergency Medical Services program
- IHS refused to enter leases, under section 105(I) of the ISDEAA, that would fully compensate the Maniilaq Association for the use of Village Built Clinics in Ambler and Kivalina to deliver services under a self-governance agreement

In all of the above cases, the courts upheld the ISDEAA and ruled in favor of the tribes and tribal organizations.

The Future of Self-Governance

- Title VI: Expansion of Self-Governance in DHHS
 - 2003 Feasibility Study: Identified 11 programs from non-IHS agencies, including TANF, Head Start, and LIHEAP
 - Initiative restarted in 2021; introduction of bill expected in upcoming months
- Title IV: Expansion of Self-Governance in DOI
 - Multiple efforts since 1994 to take over programs from USFWS,
 BLM, NPS and other non BIA agencies
 - Few examples of success: CATG, Grand Portage, Flathead,
 Yurok, Sitka
 - Professor Washburn's recent article in Iowa Law review explores the potential for more of these kinds of efforts



The Future of Self-Governance

Expansion Beyond DOI and DHHS: The Tribal Transportation Self-Governance Program

- Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act (2015) included a new Tribal Transportation Self-Governance Program.
- Modeled on Titles IV and V, program allows inclusion of all DOT funding in single agreement.
- Tribes enjoy benefits of the ISDEAA such as FTCA coverage and final offer process.
- Negotiated rulemaking process completed, program operational.



The Future of Self-Governance

Other Agencies?

- Environmental Protection Agency
- Department of Agriculture
- Department of Homeland Security
- Department of Justice

Conclusion: Self-Governance in an Evolving Political Context

- The ISDEAA has helped strengthen tribal governments and communities over the past forty five years and will continue to do so in the future.
- Tribal success in exercising sovereignty has and will continue to drive changes in Title IV, Title V and future self-governance statutes and policies.
- Self-governance is a political movement focused on self-reliance and independence; it has fundamentally changed the relationship between BIA, IHS, other federal agencies and tribal governments.
- The future will involve expanding self-governance more deeply into DOI and DHHS agencies other than BIA and IHS, as well as expansion to other agencies
- Final word of caution: traditional bipartisan support for ISDEAA may be in danger.



Questions?

Geoff Strommer
Hobbs, Straus, Dean & Walker, LLP
503-242-1745

gstrommer@hobbsstraus.com